STRIE OF HOMINA 1 BEFORE THE BUARD ON PERSONNEL APPEALS 2 IN THE MATTER OF INFAIR LABOR PRACTICE GUARGE NO. 3-85: 3 GEORGE R. DENNIS, PAUL GREWHER, VOXCENT SYLE and 4 STAN SMITH 5 Complainants,

GREAT PALLS HOOSING APPROBUTY,

一切事

6

7

Ħ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20 30 Defendant.

On March 7, 1985, Investigator Joseph V. Marchiek Issued a Report and Recommendation on the Investigation of Alleged Unfair Labor Practice dismissing the charge for the reason that it was not timely filed.

Exceptions to the Report and Recommandation were filed on March 18, 1985 by the Complainants.

Oral argument was scheduled before the Board of Personnel Appeals on April 12, 1985.

After reviewing the record and considering the briefs and oral asymmets, the Board Finds and Ocders as follows:

- IT IS CHOUSED that the Exceptions to the Investigation of Alleged Unfair Labor Practice Report and Recommendation are beceby denied.
- 2. IT IS OFFERED that this Board therefore adopts the Report and Recommendation issued by Investigator Joseph V. Maronick dismissing the charge as the Pinal Order of this Board.

DWHED this \mathcal{I} day of May, 1985.

BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS

FINAL ORDER

Alan L. Jangelyn

31

32

STATE OF MONTANA BEFORE THE BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS

IN THE MATTER OF UNFAIR PRACTICE CHARGE #3-85

GEORGE R. DENNIS, PAUL GUENTHER, VINCENT KYLE and STAN SMITH

INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGED UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICE:

Complainants,

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

-98-

GREAT FALLS HOUSING AUTHORITY

Defendant.

The complainants are employed by the Great Falls Housing Authority as maintenance workers. On July 3, 1984, they discovered that the contract between the Painters Union Local No. 260 and the Housing authority had been continued to June 30, 1985 without negotiations as they had wished. The contract requires written notice of the desire to negotiate contract terms. Paragraph 24 "Duration Clause" provides:

"A. This Agreement shall be in full force and offect from July 1, 1981 to and including June 30, 1984 and shall continue from year to year thereafter unless written notice of desire to cancel or terminate the Agreement is served by either party upon the other not less than sixty (60) and not more than ninety (90) days prior to June 30, 1984, or June 30th of any subsequent contract year.

B. Where no cancellation or tarmination notice is served and the parties desire to continue said Agreement, but also desire to negotiate changes or revisions in this Agreement, either party may serve upon the other written notice not less than sixty (60) days and not more than ninety (90) days prior to June 30, 1984 or June 30th of any subsequent contract year advising that such party desires to revise or change the terms and conditions of such agreement. The opening notice shall specify the changes desired.

The complainants admit they failed to submit written notice during the window period as required. The contract was continued in accordance with the Duration Clause. On January 17, 1985, the complainants filed an unfair labor

18 19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27. 28

29

30 31

32

practice charge with the board alleging defendants violation of Section 39-31-401(5) MCA. Montana Codes Annotated 39-31-404 states that: SIX-MONTH LIMITATION ON UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICE COMPLAINT - EXCEPTION. No notice of hearing shall be issued based upon any unfair labor practice more than 6 months before the filing of the charge with the board unless the person aggrieved thereby was prevented from filing the charge by reason of service in the armed forces, in which event the 6-month period shall be computed from the day of his discharge. From the facts found in the complaint it appears that the complainants became aware of the alleged unfair labor practice, if any, on July 3, 1984. The complaint was filed on January 17, 1985. This is beyond the six months statute of limitations. Therefore, the complainants Unfair Labor Practice Charge is dismissed as not filed timely. DATED this 7 day of March , 1985. BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS Joseph V. Maronick Investigator SPECIAL MOTE Montane Codes Annotated 39-31-405(2) states in part: ... The dismissal becomes a final order of the board unless either party requests a review of the decision to dismiss the complaint. The request for a review must be made in writing within 10 days of receipt of the notice of intention to dismiss.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32